
Snapshot Report

ICES 2004-4570R4

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) assesses mathematics in five content areas: number sense, properties, and operations; measurement; geometry and spatial sense; data analysis, statistics and probability; and algebra and functions. The NAEP mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500.

Overall Mathematics Results for Oregon

- In 2003, the average scale score for fourth-grade students in Oregon was 236. This was higher¹ than the average score in 2000 (224), and was higher than the average score in 1996 (223).
- Oregon's average score (236) in 2003 was higher than that of the nation's public schools (234).
- Of the 53 states and jurisdictions² that participated in the 2003 fourth-grade assessment, students' average scale scores in Oregon were higher than those in 18 jurisdictions, not significantly different from those in 26 jurisdictions, and lower than those in 8 jurisdictions.
- The percentage of students in Oregon who performed at or above the NAEP Proficient level was 33 percent in 2003. This percentage was greater than that in 2000 (23 percent), and was greater than that in 1996 (21 percent).




NOTE: The NAEP mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500, with the achievement levels corresponding to the following points: Below *Basic*, 213 or lower; *Basic*, 214-248; *Proficient*, 249-281; *Advanced*, 282 or above.

Performance of NAEP Reporting Groups in Oregon						
	Percentage	Average	Percentage of students at			
Reporting groups	of students	Score	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Male	52	237 🕇	20 ↓	45	30 🕇	5
Female	48	235 🕇	22 ↓	47	28 🕇	3
White	75 ↓	240 1	16 ↓	47	32 ↑	5 🕇
Black	3	223	39	41	18	2
Hispanic	14 ↑	218 🕇	46	39	14	1
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	245	12	42	37	9
American Indian/Alaska Native	2					
Free/reduced-price school lunch						
Eligible	36	226 🕇	32 ↓	49 🕇	18 🕇	1
Not eligible	61	242 🕇	15 ↓	44	35 ↑	6

Average Score Gaps Between Selected Groups

- In 2003, male students in Oregon had an average score that was not found to be significantly different from that of female students. In 1996, there was also no significant difference between the average score of male and female students.
- The sample size was not sufficient to permit a reliable estimate for Black students in Oregon in 1996.
- In 2003, White students had an average score that was higher than that of Hispanic students (22 points). This performance gap was not significantly different from that of 1996 (29 points).
- In 2003, students who were not eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch had an average score that was higher than that of students who were eligible (16 points). This performance gap was not significantly different from that of 1996 (21 points).

Mathematics Scale Scores at Selected Percentiles



An examination of scores at different percentiles on the 0–500 NAEP mathematics scale at each grade indicates how well students at lower, middle, and higher levels of the distribution performed.

- # The estimate rounds to zero.
- --- Reporting standards not met; sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.
- * Significantly different from 2003. ↑ Significantly higher than, ↓ lower than 2000.
- ¹ Comparisons (higher/lower/not different) are based on statistical tests. The .05 level was used for testing statistical significance. Performance comparisons may be affected by differences in exclusion rates for students with disabilities and limited-English-proficient students in the NAEP samples and changes in sample sizes. NAEP sample sizes have increased in 2003 compared to previous years, resulting in smaller detectable differences than in previous assessments.

 ² "Jurisdictions" includes participating states and other jurisdictions (such as the District of Columbia and the Department of Defense Dependents Schools). NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for Free/reduced-price lunch is not displayed. Statistical comparisons are calculated on the basis of unrounded scale scores or percentages. Visit http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/states/ for additional results and detailed information.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 1996, 2000, and 2003 Mathematics Assessments.